



**ADSORPTION OF ANTITUMOR AGENTS ONTO PEGYLATED SINGLE-
WALLED CARBON NANOTUBES: PHARMACOKINETIC ASPECTS**

MARYAM RAJA

Faculty of Sciences, University of Guilan, Namjoo Street, Rasht, Iran

*Corresponding Author: E Mail address: Maryam_Raja1367@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) are a standout amongst the most encouraging conveyance methods for disease finding and treatment of cancer. The focus is because of their critical focal points over spherical nanoparticles, including a high capacity to carry drugs, delayed time of circulation, and noteworthy permeability of their cell membranes (Hou, et al., 2016). Polyethylene glycol (PEG) is broadly used in nanotechnology and delivery of drugs because of "stealth" properties and biocompatibility that it has been reported to have. It is, for the most part, suspected that PEGylation permits particulate conveyance frameworks and biomaterials to dodge the disease fighting system and subsequently drag out lifetimes of circulation. It is the goal of every form of therapy to establish a suitable way to administer a drug or chemical substance to the immune system in a manner that leads to the attainment of maximum efficiency and bioactivity while at the same time reducing toxicity to its minimum. Nanoparticles have been noted to possess certain unique characteristics that enable them to be utilized in cancer diagnosis and delivery of anticancer agents. In this regard, studies have shown that nanotubes, both single and multi-walled, adsorb a wide variety of plasma proteins as well as anticancer agents in a process that is often referred to as opsonization but PEG is seen to keep it from occurring. The issue of adsorption on single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) is a subject becoming of exploratory and hypothetical interest. Adsorption in this study was examined by watching the gathering of atoms of the antitumor medication doxorubicin (DOX) by the surface of PEGylated SWCNTs.

Keywords: PEG, SWCNT, DOX, adsorption, FA-conjugated PEG (FA-PEG)

INTRODUCTION

The UK has reported in excess of 293,000 cases of cancer diagnosed every year. To date, the most common methods used to counter this disease have been surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy. These conventional methods pose a grave threat of toxicity which renders them somewhat ineffective in anticancer agent delivery. Conventional chemotherapy has been riddled with the inability to target specific cancer tumor sites, as well as difficulties in the administration of cancer drugs. It is for this reason that there has been extensive research lately concerning the use of carbon nanotubes in the delivery of antitumor agents. Carbon nanotubes have been observed to possess numerous advantageous properties that make them significantly applicable in delivery of antitumor agents. Nanocarriers with advanced physicochemical and organic properties are absorbed by cells more efficiently than bigger atoms, so they can be effectively utilized as tools for conveyance for bioactive compounds available right (Wilczewska, Niemirowicz, Markiewicz, and Car, 2012).

In cancer medication, the utilization of nanoparticles as frameworks for the conveyance of drugs has propelled the bioavailability, in vivo steadiness, intestinal assimilation, dissolvability, targeted and

sustained delivery, and restorative viability of a few antitumor agents (Díaz and Vivas-Mejia, 2013). Nanoparticulate frameworks for drug delivery offer certain favorable circumstances over traditional dose method, among which are enhanced proficiency, lessened harmfulness, upgraded bio dissemination with enhanced patient consistency (Gurjar, Chouksey, Patil, Naik, and Agrawal, 2013). Nanoparticles target tumor tissues in two methods: active and passive targeting. As quickly developing tissues, tumors show improved vascular penetrability because of high demand for supplements and conceivable oxygen. The elements of the flawed vasculature are utilized for the conveyance of nanoparticle medications since the span of nanoparticle permits them to collect in tumor tissues. The occurrence is termed as the effect of tumor-particular enhanced penetrability and retention (EPR) (Cha, Shin, Annabi, Dokmeci, & Khademhosseini, 2013).

More proficient targeting of tumors can be accomplished through dynamic methodologies of targeting, in which, atoms that target can perceive tumor biomarkers on the surface of cancer cells. Three CNT properties are important in the conveyance of cancer medication. Firstly, just like other nanoparticles, functionalized

CNTs' size is ideal for collection in tumor tissues. Secondly, CNTs contain ultrahigh surface areas which encourage stacking of targeting molecules and drugs. Thirdly, the CNTs having a hydrophobic benzene ring structure can be utilized for stacking medications that contain benzene ring structure (Ye, et al., 2013). The conceivable adsorbed patterns of molecules and atoms on the single-walled carbon nanotubes change with the distances across and tubes' chirality owing to confinement (Heister, Neves, Lamprecht, Silva, Coley, and McFadden, 2012). The arch of the carbon nanotube surface extends the adsorbate particles distance and in this manner upgrades the soundness of high scope structures of adsorbate (Adeli, Soleyman, Beiranvand, and Madani, 2013).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Single Walled CNTs (length 0.5–100 μm , distance across 1–2 nm), DOX hydrochloride, concentrated acids and every single other reagent were acquired, and the SWCNTs cleaned. The cleansed SWCNTs (0.2 mg) underwent sonification in 0.10 mL of dimethylformamide for 2 hours to produce a homogeneous suspension. Oxalyl chloride (0.008 mL) was then added drop by drop to the sanitized SWCNT suspension under a nitrogen-rich atmosphere at a temperature of 0°C. The blend was mixed

for 2 hours at 0°C and after that at room temperature for an additional 2 hours. At last, the temperature was increased up to 70°C, and the blend was mixed on a magnetic stirrer overnight to take out the surplus of oxalyl chloride. FA-conjugated PEG (FA-PEG) scattered in methanol and chloroform was utilized in bioconjugation. FA-PEG (0.2 mM) was then added to the suspension of SWCNT, and the blend was mixed at 100°C for five days. Cooling was done up to room temperature, then the mixture was separated by a 0.2 μm -pore layer and washed thoroughly using ethyl liquor and deionized water. The PEGylated Single Walled CNTs were gathered on the layer and dried under vacuum overnight.

The effectiveness of medication loading and discharge profile from the PEGylated SWCNTs were concentrated on. DOX hydrochloride (15 mg) was mixed with the PEGylated NTs (5 mg) scattered in a phosphate-supported saline arrangement of pH 7.4 (10 mL) and mixed at room temperature for 16 hours in conditions of no light to produce the targeted framework for drug delivery (DOX-FA-PEG-SWCNTs). Unbound surplus DOX was uprooted by rehashed centrifugation and washing with water until the filtrate was no longer colored red (red shading compares to free DOX). At that point, the subsequent complexes of DOX-FA-PEG-SWCNT

were at last centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 minutes, the supernatant was emptied, and the complexes of DOX-FA-PEG-SWCNT freeze-dried.

The measure of DOX stacked onto the PEGylated SWCNTs was evaluated spectrophotometrically with the assistance of a UV-vis retention spectroscope. This was carried out at an absorbance of 490 nm taking into account a standard curve of DOX. At first, a standard curve of absorbance was plotted, making use of standard DOX concentrations as a part of a PBS solution for deciding the correct measure of the medication stacked onto the CNTs. To ascertain the drug's stacking proficiency, 100 μ L of the medication stacked specimens was drawn previously, then after the process of centrifugation and then analyzed.

RESULTS

To concentrate on the adjustment in the surface properties of the changed SWCNTs by PEG covering, we broke down the zeta capability of the immaculate, purified, and PEGylated CNTs. The zeta potential is a pointer of the stability of colloidal frameworks. The flawless SWCNTs had a zeta capability of -26.9 mV. The zeta potential went up to -54.2 mV for sanitized SWCNTs, and this might be because of the presence of numerous COO⁻ groups on the SWCNTs sidewalls.

The PEGylated SWCNTs demonstrated a zeta capability of -34.2 mV. The FA-PEG on FA-PEG-SWCNTs was affirmed to be present by concentrating on the trademark ingestion crests connected with utilitarian groups of FA, SWCNTs, and PEG utilizing X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Electron spectroscopy was used for chemical examination to affirm the nearness of functional groups on the oxidized Single Walled CNTs. Loading of DOX onto the PEGylated Single Walled CNTs was observed using UV-vis absorption spectroscopy. Plain DOX in water shows assimilations at 490 nm. The PEGylated CNTs loading of DOX was apparent from the UV-vis range, which obviously demonstrates the trademark ingestion peaks of DOX characteristic of the interaction SWCNTs and DOX share. The loading of DOX onto the CNTs can be controlled by the examination of the supernatant for free medication utilizing a UV-vis spectrophotometer after ultracentrifugation of the DOX stacked SWCNTs. We got a DOX stacking effectiveness of 58% onto the PEGylated CNTs.

DISCUSSION

Here, the Single Walled CNTs were filtered before utilizing as conveyance mechanisms for chemotherapy, as the metal impetuses used for the blend of CNTs are

known to be potentially harmful (Heister, Neves, Lamprecht, Silva, Coley, & McFadden, 2012). The SWCNTs can be sanitized or surface-altered through exposure to conditions suitable for oxidation (solutions containing nitric and sulfuric acids). This culminates in the development of carboxylic groups on the surface of Single Walled CNTs, which raises their dispersibility in watery solutions (Andersen, Robinson, Dai, Hunter, Andresen, & Moghimi, 2013). The attachment of FA-PEG molecules to the oxidized SWCNTs was affirmed by the peaking of nitrogen levels. Nitrogen peak is missing in oxidized SWCNTs, and the presence of nitrogen crest in the PEGylated SWCNTs affirms the PEGylation of the oxidized Single Walled CNTs.

PEGylated Single Walled CNTs exhibit less negative zeta potential than cleaned SWCNTs since the PEGylation causes conversion of carboxylic acids into esters (Luo, Song, Li, Shi, Hu, & Lin, 2013). The dissolvability of bio-functionalized SWCNTs was raised, apparently because of the oxygen containing glycol chain, which can bond with water particles using hydrogen bonds and catch cations that are present in the solution. The move towards the more negative potential for PEGylated SWCNTs shows the conjugation of PEG moieties

onto the SWCNTs (Wilczewska, Niemirowicz, Markiewicz, & Car, 2012).

The change of the surface of a nanoparticle by hydrophilic polymers like polyethylene glycol (PEG) is a technique widely utilized as a part of medication targeting. The inclusion of PEG is seen to keep the opsonization from occurring and shield the ES from clearing up the nanoparticles and decrease poisonous hematological quality when they are prescribed for I.V (Jeyamohan, Hasumura, Nagaoka, Yoshida, Maekawa, and Kumar, 2013). The viability of PEGylation depends on a long chain and density of coverage. These variables can likewise dodge nanoparticles and reach the tumor being targeted by hinderance of instruments of cell uptake, or counteract tying with proteins targets. As of late, it has been noted that PEG-covering brings about the era of PEG particular IgM antibodies that improve leeway under in vivo measures under rehashed organization, an effect known as the "accelerated blood clearance" (ABC) phenomenon (Pinillos-Madrid and Gallardo, 2015).

PEGylation would likewise be relied upon to restrain associations with the tumor cells (e.g., through a receptor) and in this manner limit uptake by the tissue being targeted. Studies have reliably demonstrated that PEG likewise restrains

uptake by various cell lines (Liu, 2013). While intracellular uptake may not be primary for a few applications, for example, chemotherapeutic operators for which confinement to the region of the tumor could be favorable, remedial adequacy of a few agents (e.g., RNA, DNA) is subject to cellular uptake and access to intracellular hardware (Dineshkumar, et al., 2015). In such manner, the impeding impact of PEG is copiously evident in gene and siRNA conveyance studies demonstrating that rates of silencing and transfection are continuously diminished with PEGylation. Indeed, PEGylation as little as 0.5% has been appeared to lessen transfection entirely (Smith, et al., 2014).

CONCLUSION

Albeit deficient in specific angles, the results got from this study up to this point unmistakably demonstrate the capability of PEGylated SWCNT-based frameworks for delivery of drugs. Varied advances have been made in the improvement of SWCNTs as cutting-edge nanomaterials for biomedical applications. Different examinations and studies have shown that SWCNTs could be considered as promising nano-vectors for the conveyance of an assortment of helpful agents. All that is required is taking after their surface adjustment to build their water

dispersibility, rendering them organically compatible, diminishing their lethality, and expanding their capacity to cross the membranes of cells.

REFERENCES

- [1] Adeli, M., Soleyman, R., Beiranvand, Z., & Madani, F. (2013). Carbon nanotubes in cancer therapy: A more precise look at the role of carbon nanotube–polymer interactions. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 42(12), 5231-5256.
- [2] Andersen, A. J., Robinson, J. T., Dai, H., Hunter, A. C., Andresen, T. L., & Moghimi, S. (2013). Single-walled carbon nanotube surface control of complement recognition and activation. *ACS nano*, 7(2), 1108-1119.
- [3] Bates, K., & Kostarelos, K. (2013, December 31). Carbon nanotubes as vectors for gene therapy: Past achievements, present challenges and future goals. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 65(15), 2023-2033.
- [4] Cha, C., Shin, S. R., Annabi, N., Dokmeci, M. R., & Khademhosseini, A. (2013, April 5). Carbon-based nanomaterials: multifunctional materials for

- biomedical engineering. *ACS nano*, 7(4), 2891-2897.
- [5] Díaz, M., & Vivas-Mejia, P. E. (2013, November 4). Nanoparticles as drug delivery systems in cancer medicine: emphasis on RNAi-containing nanoliposomes. *Pharmaceuticals*, 6(11), 1361-1380.
- [6] Dineshkumar, B., Krishnakumar, K., Bhatt, A. R., Paul, D., Cherian, J., John, A., et al. (2015, July 1). Single-walled and multi-walled carbon nanotubes based drug delivery system: Cancer therapy: A review . *Indian Journal of Cancer*, 52(3), 262.
- [7] Gurjar, P. N., Chouksey, S., Patil, G., Naik, N., & Agrawal, S. (2013). Carbon nanotubes: Pharmaceutical applications. *Asian Journal of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 3(23), 8-13.
- [8] Hadidi, N., Kobarfard, F., Nafissi-Varcheh, N., & Aboofazeli, R. (2013, June 1). PEGylated single-walled carbon nanotubes as nanocarriers for cyclosporin a delivery. *AAPS PharmSciTech*, 14(2), 593-600.
- [9] Heister, E., Neves, V., Lamprecht, C., Silva, S. R., Coley, H. M., & McFadden, J. (2012, February 29). Drug loading, dispersion stability, and therapeutic efficacy in targeted drug delivery with carbon nanotubes. *Carbon*, 50(2), 622-632.
- [10] Hou, L., Yang, X., Ren, J., Wang, Y., Zhang, H., Feng, Q., et al. (2016). A novel redox-sensitive system based on single-walled carbon nanotubes for chemophotothermal therapy and magnetic resonance imaging. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, 11, 607.
- [11] Jeyamohan, P., Hasumura, T., Nagaoka, Y., Yoshida, Y., Maekawa, T., & Kumar, D. S. (2013). Accelerated killing of cancer cells using a multifunctional single-walled carbon nanotube-based system for targeted drug delivery in combination with photothermal therapy. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, 8, 2653.
- [12] Liu, P. (2013, September 10). Modification strategies for carbon nanotubes as a drug delivery system. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 52(38), 13517-13527.

- [13] Luo, E., Song, G., Li, Y., Shi, P., Hu, J., & Lin, Y. (2013). The toxicity and pharmacokinetics of carbon nanotubes as an effective drug carrier. *Current Drug Metabolism*, 14(8), 879-890.
- [14] Pinillos-Madrid, J. F., & Gallardo, C. (2015, September). Carbon Nanotubes: a viable drug delivery platform for the treatment of cancer. *Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science*, 5(9), 143-152.
- [15] Smith, B. R., Ghosn, E. E., Rallapalli, H., Prescher, J. A., Larson, T., Herzenberg, L. A., et al. (2014, June 1). Selective uptake of single-walled carbon nanotubes by circulating monocytes for enhanced tumour delivery. *Nature Nanotechnology*, 9(6), 481-487.
- [16] Verhoef, J. J., & Anchordoquy, T. J. (2013, December 1). Questioning the use of PEGylation for drug delivery. *Drug Delivery and Translational Research*, 3(6), 499-503.
- [17] Wang, A. Z., Langer, R., & Farokhzad, O. C. (2012, February 18). Nanoparticle delivery of cancer drugs. *Annual Review of Medicine*, 63, 185-198.
- [18] Wilczewska, A. Z., Niemirowicz, K., Markiewicz, K. H., & Car, H. (2012, October 31). Nanoparticles as drug delivery systems. *Pharmacological Reports*, 64(5), 1020-1037.
- [19] Yang, S. T., Luo, J., Zhou, Q., & Wang, H. (2012, January 1). Pharmacokinetics, metabolism and toxicity of carbon nanotubes for biomedical purposes. *Theranostics*, 2(3), 271-282.
- [20] Ye, R., Wang, S., Wang, J., Luo, Z., Peng, Q., Cai, X., et al. (2013, October 1). Pharmacokinetics of CNT-based drug delivery systems. *Current Drug Metabolism*, 14(8), 910-920.